Code No: MA1912 R14

MBA II Semester Regular Examinations, August 2015

ORGANISATIONAL BEHAVIOUR

(Master of Business Administration)

Time: 3Hours Max. Marks: 60

Note: Answer All Sections of Questions.

All Questions from Section- A are to be answered at one place.

SECTION-A

 $6 \times 2 = 12M$

- 1. What are the various disciplines that have contributed to the discipline of Organizational Behavior?
- 2. What do you understand by Herzberg two factor theory of motivation?
- 3. Define a conflict.
- 4. What is managerial grid theory of leadership?
- 5. Distinguish between groups and teams.
- 6. Define organizational culture.

SECTION-B

 $3 \times 12 = 36M$

1. a) Describe various trends in organizational behavior and discuss their effects on organizational performance.

(OR)

- b) Explain the behavioral models of decision making in organizational behavior.
- 2. a) Explain in detail the Big 5 personality model and what are the inputs that a manager can use from this model to motivate his/her subordinates.

(OR)

- b) Explain the characteristics of a transformational leader. Explain the role of a transformational leader when an organization is undergoing a change process.
- 3. a) i) Explain the steps in organizational development process.
 - ii) Explain any two organizational development intervention techniques.

(OR)

b) Explain different steps in team formation and elucidate how to make teams really work.

SECTION-C

 $1 \times 12 = 12M$

CASE STUDY:

Enriching Jobs at Standard Decoy

Standard Decoy in Witchell, Maine, has been making traditional wooden hunting decoys since 1927. Cyrus Witchell began the business by carving a couple of ducks a day by hand. Demand and competition have long since driven the company to use modern machinery and assembly-line techniques, and they now turn out two hundred ducks daily even on the slowest days.

When Stewart Alcorn, Cyrus Witchell's grandson, took over the business, he knew things needed to change. Output hadn't fallen, and the company was surviving financially despite competition from what he called "plastic ducks" from the Far East. But Alcorn noticed that productivity per worker had stayed the same for ten years, even during the period since the company had bought the latest equipment. While touring the plant, he noticed many employees yawning, and he found himself doing the same. No one quit. No one complained. They all gave him a smile when he walked by. But no one seemed excited with the work.

Alcorn decided to take a survey. He appointed a respected worker at each step in the production process to ask each of his or her coworkers questions and to fill in the response sheets. One conclusion emerged from the survey: The "fine-tuners," as Alcorn thought of them, were the most content. That is, those who used fine tools and brushes to get the ducks' heads, expressions, and feathers just right seemed to enjoy their work most. In contrast, the people who planed and cut the wood into blocks, rough-cut the body shapes, spray-painted the body color, and applied the varnish were all pretty bored.

Alcorn had heard about a technique called "job rotation" and decided to try it out. He gave all workers a test of the "fun" jobs. He asked for volunteers to exchange jobs for one morning a week. The fine-tuners were skeptical, and the other workers were only slightly more enthusiastic. The whole program turned out to be a disaster. Even with guidance, the planers and spray-painters could not master the higher-precision techniques, and the fine-tuners seemed willing to give them only limited assistance. After one trial week, Alcorn gave up.

During a lunch break that Friday, Alcorn was wandering around outside the plant bemoaning his failure. Then he noticed one of the rough-cutters, Al Price, whittling at something with an ordinary pocket knife. It turned out to be a block of wood that he had cut incorrectly and normally would have thrown in the scrap heap. But as Price said, "It kind of looked like a duck, in an odd way," and he had started whittling on it in spare moments.

Alcorn liked what he saw and asked Price if he would be willing to sell him the duck when he got through with it. Price looked surprised, but he agreed. The following week, Alcorn noticed that Price had finished the whittling and was getting one of the fine-tuners to help him paint the duck in a way that made it look even odder. When it was finished, Alcorn offered it to one of his regular customers, who took a look at it and said, "You've got hand made?" and asked if he could order a gross.

By the middle of the next month, Alcorn's "Odd Ducks" program was in full swing. Workers were still responsible for producing the usual number of conventional ducks, but they were allowed to use company tools and materials any time they wanted to work on their own projects. There were no quotas or expectations for the Odd Ducks. Some employees worked on one for weeks; others collaborated and produced one or two a day. Some wouldn't sell their ducks but crafted them to practice their skills and brought them home to display on their mantels. Those who would sell them kept half the selling price. That price usually did not amount to more than their regular hourly wage, but no one seemed to care about the precise amount of income.

The response to the Odd Duck program was so great that Alcorn put up a bulletin board he called "Odd Letters" as a place to post appreciative notes from customers. Most of these customers, it seemed, had no interest in hunting but just liked to have the ducks around. And when Alcorn learned that some of his customers were in turn selling the ducks as "Cyrus Witchell's Olden Time Odd Ducks," he did not complain.

Case Questions

- i) What is the problem in the case?
- ii) How did the "Odd Ducks" program enrich the jobs at Standard Decoy?
- iii) What motivated workers to participate in making the Odd Ducks?

###